



FY 2023 Performance Budget Congressional Submission

Office of the Solicitor General

	Page No.
I. Overview.....	2
II. Summary of Program Changes.....	3
III. Appropriations Language and Analysis of Appropriations Language.....	3
IV. Program Activity Justification.....	5
A. Federal Appellate Activity	
1. Program Description	
2. Performance and Resource Tables	
3. Performance, Resources, and Strategies	
V. Program Increase by Item.....	9
VI. Program Offsets by Item.....	9
VII. Exhibits	
A. Organizational Chart	
B. Summary of Requirements	
C. FY 2023 Program Increases/Offsets by Decision Unit	
D. Resources by DOJ Strategic Goal/Objective	
E. Justification for Technical and Base Adjustments	
F. Crosswalk of 2021 Availability	
G. Crosswalk of 2022 Availability	
H. Summary of Reimbursable Resources	
I. Detail of Permanent Positions by Category	
J. Financial Analysis of Program Changes	
K. Summary of Requirements by Object Class	

I. Overview for the Office of the Solicitor General

Introduction

In FY 2023, the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG) requests a total of \$14,460,000 and 55 positions, including 23 attorney positions, and 55 FTE to meet its mission.

Mission/Background

The mission of OSG is to conduct all litigation on behalf of the United States and its agencies in the Supreme Court of the United States, to approve decisions to appeal and seek further review in cases involving the United States in the lower federal courts, and to supervise the handling of litigation in the federal appellate courts. Electronic copies of the Department of Justice's Congressional Budget Justifications and Capital Asset Plan and Business Case exhibits can be viewed or downloaded from the Internet using the Internet address: <https://www.justice.gov/doj/fy-2021-CJ>.

The original Statutory Authorization Act of June 22, 1870, states: "There shall be in the Department of Justice an officer learned in the law, to assist the Attorney General in the performance of his duties to be called the Solicitor General." As stated in 28 CFR 0.20, the general functions of the Office are as follows: (1) conducting or assigning and supervising all Supreme Court cases, including appeals, petitions for and in opposition to *certiorari*, briefs and arguments; (2) determining whether, and to what extent, appeals will be taken by the government to all appellate courts (including petitions for rehearing *en banc* and petitions to such courts for the issuance of extraordinary writs); (3) determining whether a brief amicus curiae will be filed by the government, or whether the government will intervene, in any appellate court, or in any trial court in which the constitutionality of an Act of Congress is challenged; and (4) assisting the Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General in the development of broad Department program policy.

OSG is headed by the Solicitor General, who is appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. Within the attorney staff, there are 23 attorney positions. The attorneys prepare oral arguments, Supreme Court briefs, and other related legal materials. The 32 support staffers assist the attorneys in the performance of those functions. They perform legal research, review briefs, oversee filings, and complete various administrative tasks.

Challenges

OSG's overall mission will essentially remain the same in FY 2023. However, OSG faces a set of new expectations and additional responsibilities in response to the evolving case load in the U.S. Supreme Court and the federal courts of appeals.

The Solicitor General's docket, which mirrors the docket of the Supreme Court and the federal courts of appeals, covers a range of issues that are critical to our Nation's viability and economy. Many of the cases require careful attention and coordination within the government, as well as a difficult assessment of how to apply existing statutory schemes.

In recent years, administrative, constitutional, and criminal cases have been at the heart of the Supreme Court's caseload. For example, with respect to constitutional cases, the Supreme Court will decide in its current term whether the Second Amendment allows the government to prohibit law-abiding citizens from carrying handguns outside the home for self-defense; whether all pre-viability prohibitions on elective abortions are unconstitutional; and whether Congress violated the equal-protection component of the Due Process Clause by not extending Supplemental Security Income to Puerto Rico. These cases require a substantial devotion of

energy in order to understand the intricate statutory, constitutional, and historical context and to assimilate the wide range of views both inside and outside government as to the proper balance of interests in these cases.

The Solicitor General likewise defends the implementation of an expanding set of government programs and congressional enactments. Although the precise docket in FY 2023 is impossible to predict, experience suggests that OSG will continue to be involved in cases defining an array of federal statutes, including the Voting Rights Act, the Civil Rights Act, the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act, the Fair Labor Standards Act, the Labor Management Relations Act, the Federal Arbitration Act, the Clean Water Act, the Immigration and Nationality Act, the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, and the Bankruptcy Code. In preparation for these cases, OSG attorneys engage in extensive coordination and consultation with the agencies that Congress has directed to implement these statutes.

Finally, OSG regularly handles important foreign affairs cases, including cases under the Alien Tort Statute and the Torture Victims Protection Act, as well as important constitutional cases. These cases can affect the structure of government and the relationship between the Branches, and they can have important consequences for the conduct of foreign affairs.

Full Program Costs

OSG has only one program—Federal Appellate Activity. Its program costs consist almost entirely of fixed costs, such as salaries and benefits, GSA rent, reimbursable agreements with other DOJ components, and printing.

Performance Challenges

External Challenges. For the vast majority of cases filed in the Supreme Court in which the United States is a party, a petition is filed by an adverse party and the United States responds in some way, either by filing a brief or, after reviewing the cases, waiving its right to do so. Additionally, the Supreme Court formally requests the Solicitor General to express the views of the United States on whether the Court should grant *certiorari* in a case in which the United States is not a party. The number of cases in which the Solicitor General petitions the Supreme Court for review, acquiesces in a petition for a writ of *certiorari* filed by an adverse party, or participates as an *intervenor* or as *amicus curiae* is governed exclusively by the Solicitor General's determination that it is in the best interest of the United States to take such action. Further, such activity may vary widely from year to year, which limits the Office's ability to plan its workload.

The Office of the Solicitor General does not initiate any programs, but it is required to handle all appropriate Supreme Court cases and requests for appeal, amicus, or intervention authorization.

Internal Challenges. Prior year performance measures indicate a gradual increase in the number of cases the Solicitor General either participated in and/or responded.. The arrival of cases related to the challenges discussed above and the increase in matters as noted in the fiscal year snapshot and performance tables below further predicts an ever increasing caseload.

II. Summary of Program Changes

Not applicable

III. Appropriations Language and Analysis of Appropriations Language

General Legal Activities language is displayed in the GLA rollup budget submission.

IV. Program Activity Justification

A. Federal Appellate Activity

<i>Federal Appellate Activity</i>	Perm. Pos.	FTE	Amount (\$000)
2021 Enacted	55	45	\$13,709
2022 Annualized CR	55	51	\$13,709
2022 Rebaseline Adjustment	0	0	378
Adjustments to Base and Technical Adjustments	0	4	373
2023 Current Services	55	55	14,460
2023 Program Increases	0	0	0
2023 Program Offsets	0	0	0
2023 Request	55	55	14,460
Total Change 2022-2023		4	\$373

1. Program Description

The major function of the Solicitor General’s Office is to supervise the handling of government litigation in the U.S. Supreme Court and in Federal appellate courts, to determine whether an amicus curiae brief will be filed by the government, and to approve intervention by the United States to defend the constitutionality of Acts of Congress.

This Office does not initiate programs, control Supreme Court litigation it is required to conduct, or determine the number of appeal and amicus authorizations it handles. Amicus filings often involve important constitutional or Federal statutory questions that will fundamentally affect the administration and enforcement of major Federal programs. Examples in recent terms include cases presenting significant issues of criminal procedure (affecting the government’s ability to succeed in prosecutions), as well as important issues under the civil rights laws (such as the Religious Freedom and Restoration Act and the Civil Rights Act of 1964), the environmental laws (such as the Clean Water Act), and many others.

The following table provides a fiscal year snapshot of matters pending at the beginning of the term of the Supreme Court, additional matters received, completed appellate determinations, certiorari determinations, miscellaneous recommendations, and oral arguments before the Supreme Court.

<i>FY</i>	<i>Supreme Court Term</i>	<i>Matters Pending</i>	<i>Addl. Matters Received</i>	<i>Appellate Determinations</i>	<i>Certiorari Determinations</i>	<i>Miscellaneous Recommendations</i>	<i>Oral Arguments</i>
17	2016	376	2,554	570	506	467	53
18	2017	518	5,612	637	538	493	51
19	2018	484	3042	680	662	444	54
20	2019	378	2556	761	529	519	51
21	2020	632	2874	705	741	680	51

The determinations and recommendations fields in the chart above do not directly correspond with the Office's workload measurement tables. The workload measurement tables track the workload by case whereas the figures above track the workload by determination. Often, the Office of the Solicitor General will receive a request for authorization that includes more than one potential outcome. For example, the Solicitor General may receive a request for authorization for rehearing en banc, or, in the alternative, for a petition for a writ of certiorari. In that case, the Solicitor General may make two determinations: (1) no rehearing and (2) no certiorari. The workload measurement tables reflect that as a single request. The table above provides a separate accounting for each determination. Additionally, the miscellaneous recommendations field includes requests for authorization of settlement, for stays, and for mandamus, while the figures on the performance measurement tables do not include such requests.

The oral argument field reflects the number of oral arguments the Office presented to the Supreme Court as a party, *amicus curiae*, or *intervener*; it does not reflect the total number of underlying cases for each of those arguments.

Performance and Resource Tables

PERFORMANCE AND RESOURCES TABLE												
Decision Unit:												
RESOURCES (\$ in thousands)			Target		Actual		Target		Changes		Requested (Total)	
			FY 2021		FY 2021		FY 2022		Current Services Adjustments and FY 2023 Program Changes		FY 2023 Request	
Total Costs and FTE (Reimbursable: FTE are included, but costs are bracketed and not included in totals)			FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000
			51	13,709	45	13,709	55	14,087	4	373	55	14,460
TYPE	STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE	PERFORMANCE	FY 2021		FY 2021		FY 2022		Current Services Adjustments and FY 2023 Program Changes		FY 2023 Request	
			FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000	FTE	\$000
Program Activity	Promote Good Government		51	13,709	45	13,709	55	14,087	4	373	55	14,460
APG Measure:		N/A										
KPI: Output	1.1.1	N/A										
Performance Measure:	1.2	Cases in which the Solicitor General Participated	3300		4416		3300				3300	
Performance Measure:	1.2	Requests to which the Solicitor General Responded	1400		3407		1400				1400	
*Denotes inclusion in the DOJ Quarterly Status Report and DOJ Annual Performance Plan. *This table is required.												

2. Performance and Resource Tables

A. Definitions of Terms or Explanations for Indicators:

Footnote 1: Because the work of the Office is primarily governed by the Supreme Court’s schedule, the Office tracks its workload by Supreme Court Term. Fiscal years roughly correspond to Supreme Court Terms, which run from July of the Term year through June of the next year. Reference to fiscal years in this document will reflect information for the applicable Supreme Court Term. Accordingly, FY 2022 corresponds with the 2021 Supreme Court Term FY 2021 corresponds with the 2020 Supreme Court Term, and so on. The Office of the Solicitor General handles Supreme Court matters on an ongoing basis. As a result, some matters will overlap from one fiscal year to the next, and they are included in the data for the term in which they most appropriately fit.

Footnote 2: Includes requests for authorizations as well as recommendations against appeal, intervention, or participation amicus curiae. This category does not include miscellaneous requests, such as requests for authorization of settlement, for stays, for mandamus, etc.

B. Data Validation and Verification.

The Office of the Solicitor General handles all aspects of the law—not just civil matters. The Office uses the Automated Docket System (ADS) to track the matters handled by its attorneys. Data are keyed by the Case Management staff. For Supreme Court matters, all data are verified by the Supervisor or her Assistant, and checked against Supreme Court Records. The Case Management System Supervisor executes daily statistical reports to ensure accurate tracking of both Supreme Court matters and requests for authorization to appeal, intervene, or participate as amicus curiae. Additionally, once a week the Case Management System Supervisor distributes statistical reports on all Office matters to each attorney in the Office. The attorneys then review the reports to ensure accurate tracking of the matters for which they are responsible.

C. Issues Affecting OSG’s Program Performance.

The Office of the Solicitor General does not initiate any programs or have control over the number of Supreme Court cases it is required to handle or the number of requests for appeal, amicus, or intervention authorizations it receives. In the vast majority of cases filed in the Supreme Court in which the United States is a party, a petition is filed by an adverse party and the United States is obliged to respond. Additionally, the Office does not control the number of cases in which the Supreme Court formally requests the Solicitor General to express the views of the United States. The number of cases in which the Solicitor General petitions the Supreme Court for review, acquiesces in a petition for a writ of certiorari filed by an adverse party, or participates as an intervenor or as amicus curiae is governed exclusively by the Solicitor General’s determination that it is in the best interests of the United States to do so. Thus, the Solicitor General participates in 100% of the cases in which the United States is required to participate, as well as 100% of the cases in which the Solicitor General has determined that the interests of the United States require participation.

Performance		FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
		Actual	Target	Target
Agency Priority Goal Measure	1.2			
Key Performance Indicator				
Performance Measure	Cases in which the Solicitor General Participated	4,416	3,300	3,300
Performance Measure	Requests to which the Solicitor General Responded	3,407	1,400	1,400

[N/A= Data Unavailable]

V. Program Increases by Item:

Not applicable.

VI. Program Offsets by Item:

Not applicable.

VII. EXHIBITS